Why do ______states spend \$214 million a year to push smoking at kids?



THE US SURGEON GENERAL has concluded that smoking in movies causes kids to smoke. Yet states still subsidize big-budget film productions that serve the tobacco industry's marketing interests.

From 2010 to 2016, fifteen states subsidized 90 percent of all the top-grossing films with smoking shot in the United States [see table].

In 2016, the CDC projected that exposure to such films will recruit six million kids to smoke in this generation, eventually killing two million of them.

A DEADLY POLICY CONFLICT

States already deny public subsidies to other media productions, from pornography to political advertising.

So making future film and TV productions with tobacco imagery ineligible for state tax credits and other public subsidy—as the CDC has recommended—can resolve a costly and deadly policy conflict.

While states and localities move

2010-2016*	15 TOP MOVIE STATES SUBSIDIZE TOBACCO PROMOTION			
Top-grossing movies		With smoking	Smoking movie subsidies (annual)	Spent on tobacco prevention (FY 2016)
California	167	69 (41%)	\$46 million	\$66 million
New York	74	42 (57%)	\$43 million	\$39 million
Louisiana	66	36 (55%)	\$35 million	\$7 million
Georgia	69	27 (39%)	\$22 million	\$2 million
New Mexico	22	16 (73%)	\$16 million	\$6 million
Pennsylvania	25	15 (60%)	\$12 million	\$13 million
Massachusetts	26	14 (54%)	\$18 million	\$4 million
Connecticut	10	4 (40%)	\$2 million	\$1 million
Hawaii	4	3 (75%)	\$2 million	\$7 million
North Carolina	14	3 (21%)	\$6 million	\$1 million
Puerto Rico	4	3 (75%)	\$3 million	n/a
Texas	6	3 (50%)	\$2 million	\$10 million
Alabama	5	2 (40%)	\$1 million	\$1 million
Mississippi	2	2 (100%)	\$1 million	\$11 million
Nevada	4	2 (50%)	\$3 million	\$1 million
15-state total	498	241(48%)	\$214 million	\$170 million

Fifteen states dominate production of top-grossing US films, both youth-rated and R-rated. Nearly half of their films feature smoking. A comparison of film subsidies and tobacco prevention spending shows most of these states spend more to promote smoking to kids.

to raise tobacco taxes and increase age-to-purchase, which reduce teen smoking, public subsidies for films with smoking push kids to light up.

Together, top film states spend 25 percent more per year on films proven to recruit kids to smoke than they invest in programs proven to keep kids safe.

Tobacco companies have a

nine-decade history of paying Hollywood millions of dollars to put smoking on screen. Today's taxpayers shouldn't do it for them.



SmokeFreeMovies.ucsf.edu

SMOKING IN MOVIES KILLS IN REAL LIFE. Smoke Free Movie policies—the R-rating, certification of no payoffs, anti-tobacco spots, and an end to brand display—are endorsed by the World Health Organization, American Medical Association, American Academy of Pediatrics, American Heart Association, American Lung Association, Americans for Nonsmokers' Rights, American Public Health Association, Breathe California, Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids, Los Angeles County Dept. of Health Services, New York State Dept. of Health, NY State PTA, Truth Initiative, and many others. Visit SFM online or contact: Smoke Free Movies, UCSF School of Medicine, San Francisco, CA 94143-1390.

^{*}Through Sept. 1, 2016. Smoking-film subsidies are estimated on top-grossing films' publicly-reported production budgets and the average estimated net film subsidy rates for state programs active as of January 2016 (FL, MI and others have ended theirs). CA estimates adjusted to reflect program eligibility changes. \$ totals may not equal sums due to rounding.